

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/830,742

Applicant(s)

YOSHIDA ET AL.

Examiner

Lalita M. Hamilton

Art Unit

3691

All Participants:(1) Lalita M. Hamilton.(2) Orville Cockings.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: March 6, 2007**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

claims of record


Prior art documents discussed:

*Fetik WO 99/07121***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner pointed out portions of Fetik that discussed payment options. Applicant and Examiner agreed that Fetik did not read onto the invention as claimed.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


LALITA M. HAMILTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)